UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,416	05/05/2006	Andrew Thomas Busey	1002.11	2426
53953 DAVIS LAW (	7590 08/05/200 GROUP, P.C.	EXAMINER		
6836 BEE CAV		TRAN, PHILIP B		
	SUITE 220 AUSTIN, TX 78746		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/578,416	BUSEY, ANDREW THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Philip B. Tran	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the content of the conten	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical priorical detailed of the priorical prior</li></ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/5/06 & 5/6/08.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 11 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 11 has an extra period mark at the end of the claim.

Claim 23 has an extra period mark at the end of the claim.

Appropriate corrections are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rosenblatt et al (Hereafter, Rosenblatt), U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0199514 A1 in view of Faybishenko et al (Hereafter, Faybishenko), U.S. Pat. No. U.S. Pat. No. 7,099,871 and further in view of Ponce et al (Hereafter, Ponce), U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2005/0091316 A1.

Regarding claim 1, Rosenblatt teaches a method performed by at least one information handling system, the method comprising: on a display device, displaying an excerpt of information, and displaying a list of folders and in response to a command from a first user, saving the displayed excerpt of the information in a folder that is selected by the first user from among the displayed list of folders (= displaying files and

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

folders and adding to a list of files and folders for sharing data between a first user and a second user across the network) [see Abstract and Figs. 3B-3D and Paragraphs 0003 & 0016].

Rosenblatt does not explicitly teach an excerpt of information (file) is formatted in XML. However, Faybishenko, in the same field of sharing resources for grouping peers endeavor, discloses sharing resources such as services and files including structured documents like XML files [see Faybishenko, Col. 41, Lines 36-57 and Col. 42, Lines 11-32]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Faybishenko into the teaching of Rosenblatt in order to efficiently transfer bulk data such as XML documents in a World Wide Web environment.

Rosenblatt and Faybishenko do not explicitly teach in response to a command from the first user, selectively enabling access to the selected folder by one or more second users specified by the first user. However, Ponce, in the same field of sharing information in a distributed peer-to-peer network endeavor, discloses selectively sharing data element in a peer-to-peer network and granting access permission to folder when one or more friends are selected (including full control, Read only status, or blocked status) [see Ponce, Abstract and Paragraph 0091]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ponce into the teaching of Rosenblatt and Faybishenko in order to provide a secured and access controlled peer-to-peer resource sharing of data across the network.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

Regarding claims 2-3, Rosenblatt and Faybishenko do not explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein the one or more second users is a single second user preselected by the first user and wherein the one or more second users is a group of second users preselected by the first user. However, Ponce, in the same field of sharing information in a distributed peer-to-peer network endeavor, discloses selectively sharing data element in a peer-to-peer network and granting access permission to folder when one or more friends are selected (including full control, Read only status, or blocked status) [see Ponce, Abstract and Paragraph 0091]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ponce into the teaching of Rosenblatt and Faybishenko for the same reason set forth above in claim 1.

Regarding claims 4-5, Rosenblatt and Faybishenko do not explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein selectively enabling access comprises: in response to a command from the first user before and after saving the displayed excerpt, selectively enabling access to the selected folder by one or more second users specified by the first user. However, Ponce, in the same field of sharing information in a distributed peer-to-peer network endeavor, discloses selectively sharing data element in a peer-to-peer network and granting access permission to folder when one or more friends are selected (including full control, Read only status, or blocked status) [see Ponce, Abstract and Paragraph 0091]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Ponce into the teaching of Rosenblatt and Faybishenko for the same reason set forth above in claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, Rosenblatt further teaches the method of claim 1, and comprising: associating other information with the saved excerpt in the folder, so that access to the saved excerpt includes access to the other information [see Paragraphs 0018-0019 and 0048].

Regarding claim 7, Rosenblatt further teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the other information has a non-XML format (music/mp3, pictures/mpeg) [see Paragraph 0058].

Regarding claim 8, Rosenblatt further teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the other information is a human-readable text message (text massaging) [see Paragraphs 0019, 0022-0023 & 0040].

Regarding claim 9, Rosenblatt further teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the other information is a hyperlink to a website (sites) [see Figs. 3B-3D].

Regarding claim 10, Rosenblatt does not explicitly teach the method of claim 1, wherein saving the displayed excerpt comprises: saving the displayed excerpt of the XML-formatted information in an XML format. However, Faybishenko, in the same field

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

of sharing resources for grouping peers endeavor, discloses sharing resources such as services and files including structured documents like XML files [see Faybishenko, Col. 41, Lines 36-57 and Col. 42, Lines 11-32]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Faybishenko into the teaching of Rosenblatt for the same reason set forth above to claim 1.

Regarding claim 11, Rosenblatt further teaches the method of claim 1, wherein displaying the excerpt comprises: displaying the excerpt of the XML-formatted information in a non-XML format (music/mp3, pictures/mpeg) [see Figs. 3B-3D and Paragraph 0058].

Regarding claim 12, Rosenblatt does not explicitly teach the method of claim 11, wherein the non-XML format is an HTML format. However, HTML data format is known and widely used in Web documents. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to implement HTML format in order to enable the definition, transmission, validation and interpretation of data between applications and between organizations in a World Wide Web environment.

Claims 13-24 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-12.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

Claims 25-36 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claims 1-12.

## Other References Cited

- 4. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - A) Goodman et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,120,691.
  - B) Burton et al, U.S. Pat. No. 7,130,880.
  - C) Hilbert et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2005/0192966 A1.
  - D) Phillips et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0153451 A1.
  - E) Bartram et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0019640 A1.
  - F) Zaner et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2004/0041836 A1.
  - G) Fujisawa et al, U.S. Pat. Application Pub. No. US 2003/0145056 A1.
- 5. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. FAILURE TO RESPOND WITHIN THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE WILL CAUSE THE APPLICATION TO BECOME ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). EXTENSIONS OF TIME MAY BE OBTAINED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF 37 CAR 1.136(A).

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20080620

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Philip B Tran/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2155 August 3, 2008